

OGDEN BUREAU.

Business and Editorial Office 524
Utah Loan and Trust Building.
Telephone 298.

Ogden, April 26.

DECLARATION OF WAR.

THE HERALD ANNOUNCED THE
EVENT BY A SALUTE.

Received With Cheers By the People
—Fire Department Hoists a Flag—
Great Enthusiasm Shown.

A salute of 15 guns fired from the window of the Herald building yesterday notified the public of the declaration of war having been signed by the president.

Early in the afternoon it became apparent that such a declaration would issue, and the most intense interest was felt. The news was in Ogden a minute or two after the president's signature was received, and the Herald salute of bombs was fired. The echoes had hardly died away when a telephone message came from Salt Lake to the Herald bureau in Ogden saying, "The president has signed the declaration of war." The man at the Salt Lake end of the phone heard the flag was being hoisted and some of the cheering that followed it.

Richard Thorburn, in charge of The Herald's bulletin service, and Gus Sniderman, managing editor, and the flag was hoisted from the Herald windows as a further sign of the historic event.

AT THE FIRE STATION.

At the fire station the boys celebrated the declaration by hoisting a magnificent flag, surrounded by a streamer lettered, "Remember the Maine." The tower bell struck 13 and the crowds cheered and cheered.

As the salute was fired from The Herald office at the fire station struck the tower bell one stroke for each shot.

MERCHANTS' LICENSE.

Substitute Ordinance Introduced in the Council.

The city council held its usual session last night with all members present.

The committee on laws recommended the passage of the amendment to the city ordinance, regarding slot machines, and the presence of minors in saloons. The report was adopted.

The same committee recommended the passage of the ordinance creating the office of city physician and a board of health. Report was adopted later in the session of the city physician was fixed at \$240 per year.

On recommendation of the committee on claims, the city clerk's electric light company for 417 Newton Park for \$12.35 and H. H. Henderson for \$54.50 were allowed.

Bills amounting to \$280.64 were likewise allowed.

The committee on laws presented a substitute for the original merchants' license ordinance. This substitute passed its second and third readings. It is similar to the one formerly introduced by Councilman Woolley, but makes some changes in schedule of rates and also imposes heavy license on all peddlers and all transient business.

The report of the auditor for the quarter ending March 1, was received and referred to the committee on finance.

Payment of \$3,568.75 bond interest was ordered.

Messrs. Kinnell & Kimball, attorneys for William Binford, demanded that he be reinstated as chief of the fire department.

C. E. Coulter presented a bill for three months' services as city physician amounting to \$100. Committee on claims.

W. W. Reest offered a proposition to sprinkle the streets at \$3 per day per wagon. Referred to the water committee.

The charges of Fred Wilson against Patrolman Weisner, noted in Saturday's Herald, were referred to the police committee.

An amended ordinance providing for granting a telephone franchise to William Glasmann went to the committee on laws. The characteristics of the ordinance are that the franchisee agrees to pay \$500 a year, furnish the city four telephones free and pay to the city 25 percent of the net profits of the business.

Councilman Ash was empowered to rent from the county the sprinkling wagons at \$10 per wagon per month.

The recorder notified the council that Mayor Boye had not signed the resolution dismissing Officer Smith, neither had he vetoed it. The recorder asked for instructions. Referred to police committee.

Instructions to report next meeting. (Tual payrolls were allowed and the council went into committee of the whole and remained in session for about an hour.)

An adjournment was taken until next Monday.

ARE YOUR TITLES CLEAR?

Property Must Be Sold By the County Next Month.

At the meeting of the county commissioners yesterday Mr. Seaman was appointed by Chairman Armstrong to look up and attend to the sale of the property which has passed to the county by reason of tax sale. Under section 25 of the code, the county commissioners must, during May of each year, advertise and sell all such property.

James McFarland offered to deed to the county land of a roadway along his property, in the county of Salt Lake, state of Utah, the same being a strip of land and posts for fencing along the line. Referred to Hamilton.

J. M. Clark was appointed road supervisor of Black Creek.

Superintendent Hall of the county schools asked that a 4-mill tax be levied on property outside of Ogden for school purposes.

N. N. Frost was appointed bee inspector.

The use of the county sprinkling wagon was granted the city on the city's paying \$10 per month.

Marshall notified that the United States court for the use of the United States court room for the use of the room in March and September.

Reports of the poor farm superintendent and the county physician read, and numerous individual tax matters were attended to, also claims passed.

ACCIDENTALLY SHOT.

West Weber Man Fires a Bullet Through His Body.

Otto Surlege, the son of James Surriage of West Weber, was brought to the city hospital last night, from Huntsville, suffering from a severe gunshot wound.

Surriage was at a sheep camp at South Fork, when he accidentally fired through his body. The ball entered in the abdomen, ranged upward right through the lung, Drs. Coulter and Conrad attended him, and say that his condition is critical.

He is about 19 years of age, and the shot was purely accidental.

Value of Advertising.
Last night, at the residence of W. S. Hill, that gentleman was united in marriage to Mrs. Bessie Hold, late of Louisville, Ky. Elder John Hall, who is likewise justice, performed the ceremony.

There is an interesting story connected with the marriage of the couple. The groom, the son of the late Mr. Hill, who is likewise justice, performed the ceremony. The bride, Mrs. Bessie Hold, late of Louisville, Ky., was the daughter of a prominent family. The marriage was celebrated at the residence of the groom's parents, Mr. and Mrs. Hill, who are well known in the community.

The marriage was celebrated at the residence of the groom's parents, Mr. and Mrs. Hill, who are well known in the community. The bride, Mrs. Bessie Hold, late of Louisville, Ky., was the daughter of a prominent family. The marriage was celebrated at the residence of the groom's parents, Mr. and Mrs. Hill, who are well known in the community.

The marriage was celebrated at the residence of the groom's parents, Mr. and Mrs. Hill, who are well known in the community. The bride, Mrs. Bessie Hold, late of Louisville, Ky., was the daughter of a prominent family. The marriage was celebrated at the residence of the groom's parents, Mr. and Mrs. Hill, who are well known in the community.

CAINE & HOOPER COMPANY,

GENERAL INSURANCE,

Hooper Building, Salt Lake City.

ANNUAL STATEMENT

For the year ending December 31, 1937, of the condition of the

Fire Association of Philadelphia.

1. The name and location of the company, Fire Association of Philadelphia.

2. Name of president, E. C. Irvin.

3. Name of secretary, Benjamin T. Harkness.

4. The amount of its capital stock, \$50,000.00.

5. The amount of its capital stock paid up is, \$50,000.00.

6. The amount of its liabilities (including capital), \$435,104.48.

7. The amount of its assets, \$2,953,770.41.

8. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

9. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

10. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

11. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

12. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

13. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

14. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

15. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

16. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

17. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

18. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

19. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

20. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

21. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

22. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

23. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

24. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

25. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

26. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

27. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

28. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

29. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

30. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

31. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

32. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

33. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

34. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

35. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

36. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

37. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

38. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

39. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

40. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

41. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

42. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

43. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

44. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

45. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

46. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

47. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

48. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

49. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

50. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

51. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

52. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

53. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

54. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

55. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

56. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

57. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

58. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

59. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

60. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

61. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

62. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

63. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

64. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

65. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

66. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

67. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

68. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

69. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

70. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

71. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

72. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

73. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

74. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

75. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

76. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

77. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

78. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

79. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

80. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

81. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

82. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

83. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

84. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

85. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

86. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

87. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

88. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

89. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

90. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

91. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

92. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

93. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

94. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

95. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

96. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

97. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

98. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

99. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

100. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

101. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

102. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

103. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

104. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

105. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

106. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

107. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

108. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

109. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

110. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

111. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

112. The amount of its surplus, \$2,508,321.00.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE

Third Judicial District, County of Utah, State of Utah.

IN RE: The Estate of John H. Smith, deceased.

Notice is hereby given that an order of sale and decree of foreclosure was made on the 25th day of March, 1938, in the above entitled cause, by the court, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City.

The said order of sale and decree of foreclosure was made in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City.

The said order of sale and decree of foreclosure was made in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City.

The said order of sale and decree of foreclosure was made in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City.

The said order of sale and decree of foreclosure was made in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City.

The said order of sale and decree of foreclosure was made in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City.

The said order of sale and decree of foreclosure was made in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City.

The said order of sale and decree of foreclosure was made in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City.

The said order of sale and decree of foreclosure was made in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City.

The said order of sale and decree of foreclosure was made in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City.

The said order of sale and decree of foreclosure was made in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City.

The said order of sale and decree of foreclosure was made in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City.

The said order of sale and decree of foreclosure was made in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City.

The said order of sale and decree of foreclosure was made in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City.

The said order of sale and decree of foreclosure was made in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City.

The said order of sale and decree of foreclosure was made in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City.

The said order of sale and decree of foreclosure was made in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City.

The said order of sale and decree of foreclosure was made in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City.

The said order of sale and decree of foreclosure was made in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City.

The said order of sale and decree of foreclosure was made in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City.

The said order of sale and decree of foreclosure was made in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City.

The said order of sale and decree of foreclosure was made in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City.

The said order of sale and decree of foreclosure was made in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City.

The said order of sale and decree of foreclosure was made in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City, in and for the county of Utah, held at Salt Lake City.